2014 COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

NAME OF THE ENTITY

DK - Jyske Bank

Main Results and Overview 1

MAIN INFORMATION ON THE BANK BEFORE THE COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT (end 2013) Α

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			END 2013
A1	Total Assets (based on prudential scope of consolidation)	Mill. EUR	35.124
A2	Net (+) Profit/ (-) Loss of 2013 (based on prudential scope of consolidation)	Mill. EUR	242
A3	Common Equity Tier 1 Capital according to CRDIV/CRR definition, transitional arrangements as of 1.1.2014	Mill. EUR	2.310
A4	Total risk exposure according to CRDIV/CRR definition, transitional arrangements as of 1.1.2014	Mill. EUR	15.235
A5	Total exposure measure according to Article 429 CRR "Leverage exposure"	Mill. EUR	36.615
A6	CET1 ratio according to CRDIV/CRR definition, transitional arrangements as of 1.1.2014 A6=A3/A4	%	15,16%
A7	Tier 1 Ratio (where available) according to CRD3 definition, as of 31.12.2013 as reported by the bank	%	15,94%
A8	Core Tier 1 Ratio (where available) according to EBA definition	%	15,32%
A9	Leverage ratio A9 = A3/A5	%	6,31%
A10	Non-performing exposures ratio	%	4,90%
A11	Coverage ratio for non-performing exposure	%	38,52%
A12	Level 3 instruments on total assets	%	0,00%

В MAIN RESULTS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT (CA)

	CET1 Ratio			
B1	at year end 2013 including retained earnings / losses of 2013 B1=A6	%	15,16%	
B2	Aggregated adjustments due to the outcome of the AQR	Basis Points Change	-30	
B3	AQR adjusted CET1 Ratio B3 = B1 + B2	%	14,86%	
B4	Aggregate adjustments due to the outcome of the baseline scenario of the joint EBA ECB Stress Test to lowest capital level vs threshold over the period of 3 years	Basis Points Change	109	
B5	Adjusted CET1 Ratio after Baseline Scenario B5= B3 + B4	%	15,95%	
B6	Aggregate adjustments due to the outcome of the <u>adverse</u> scenario of the joint EBA ECB Stress Test to lowest capital level vs threshold over the period of 3 years	Basis Points Change	-131	
B7	Adjusted CET1 Ratio after Adverse Scenario B7 = B3 + B6	%	13,55%	
Сар	ital Shortfall		Basis Points ¹	Mi
B8	to threshold of 8% for AQR adjusted CET1 Ratio		0	
B9	to threshold of 8% in Baseline Scenario		0	

- B10 to threshold of 5.5% in Adverse Scenario
- Aggregated Capital Shortfall of the Comprehensive Assessment B11 B11 = max(B8, B9, B10)

¹ RWA used corresponds to relevant scenario in worst case year



		Over	view	AQR		С	verview Baseli	ne			C	Overview Adver	se	
18% 16% 14% 12%				0,30%			1,09%					1,31%		
10% 8% 6% 4% 2%		15%			15%				16%	15%			14	4%
0%	year in re ea	1 Ratio end 20 cluding tained rnings es of 20	013] /	Aggregated adjustments due to the outcome of the AQR	R adju: T1 Ra		Aggregate adjustments due to the outcome of the baseline scenario of the joint EBA ECB Stress Test	Ŕ	sted CET1 atio after aseline cenario	R adju: T1 Ra		Aggregate adjustments due to the outcome of the adverse scenario of the joint EBA ECB Stress Test	Ratio Adv	ed CET1 o after verse nario

C MAJOR CAPITAL MEASURES IMPACTING TIER 1 ELIGIBLE CAPITAL FROM 1 JANUARY 2014 TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2014

Issu	ance of CET1 Instruments	Impact on Common Equity Tier 1 Million EUR
C1	Raising of capital instruments eligible as CET1 capital	945
C2	Repayment of CET1 capital, buybacks	0
C3	Conversion to CET1 of hybrid instruments becoming effective between January and September 2014	0
Net i	ssuance of Additional Tier 1 Instruments	Impact on Additional Tier 1 Million EUR
C4	with a trigger at or above 5.5% and below 6%	0
C5	with a trigger at or above 6% and below 7%	0
C6	with a trigger at or above 7%	0
Fine	s/Litigation costs	Million EUR
C7	Incurred fines/litigation costs from January to September 2014 (net of provisions)	0

2014 COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

NAME OF THE ENTITY

DK - Jyske Bank

2. Detailed AQR Results

D. Matrix Breakdown of AQR Result (B2)

		D.A	D.B	[D. C	[D.D		D.E		D.F
\downarrow	AQR breakdown Asset class breakdown	Credit Risk RWA year end 2013	Portfolio selected in Phase 1	Adjustments to	provisions on sampled files	Adjustments due to	provisions due to projection of findings	Adiustment to provisions		Impact on CET1 capital	any offset
	Units of Measurement		% of RWA selected in Phase 1	Basis Points	Mill. EUR	Basis Points	Mill. EUR	Basis Points	Mill. EUR	Basis Points	Mill. EUR
D1	Total credit exposure	10.878	80-100 %	7	10,64	32	49,21	1	1,21	-40	-61,06
D2	Sovereigns and Supranational non-governmental organisations	0									
D3	Institutions	788									
D4	Retail	2.499	80-100 %	0	0,59	13	19,38	1	1,21	-14	-21,18
D5	thereof SME	972	80-100 %					0	0	0	0
D6	thereof Residential Real Estate (RRE)	464	80-100 %	0	0	10	16	1	1	-12	-18
D7	thereof Other Retail	1.063	80-100 %					0	0	0	0
D8	Corporates	6.550	80-100 %	7	10,05	20	29,82	0	0,00	-26	-39,88
D9	Other Assets	1.040									

D10 Additional information on portfolios with largest adjustments accounting for (at least) 30% of total banking book AQR adjustment:

Geography

Asset Class

 	-		 	
				0
				0
				0

	D.G	D .H	D	.I
	Portfolio size Carrying Amount	Portfolio selection	•	T1 before any g impact
Units of Measurement	Mill. EUR	% selected in Phase 1	Basis points	Mill. EUR

D1	1	CVA
וט		CVA

- D12 Fair Value review
- D13 Non derivative exposures review
- D14 Bonds
- D15 Securitisations
- D16 Loans
- D17 Equity (Investment in PE and Participations)
- D18 Investment Properties / real estate
- D19 Derivatives Model Review

D20	Gross	impact	on	capital	
-----	-------	--------	----	---------	--

D21 Offsetting impact due to risk protection

D22 Offsetting tax impact

D23 Net total impact of AQR results on CET1 ratio

Basis points ²	Mill. EUR
-40	-61,06
0	
10	15

|--|

Basis point impact includes

² adjustment to RWA

E. Matrix Breakdown of Asset Quality Indicators

Information reported only for portfolios subject to detailed review in AQR

Asset quality indicators Based on EBA simplified definition Asset class breakdown

- Total credit exposure E1
- E2 Sovereigns and Supranational non-governmental organisations
- E3 Institutions
- E4 Retail
- E5 thereof SME
- E6 thereof Residential Real Estate (RRE)
- thereof Other Retail E7
- E8 Corporates
- E9 **Other Assets**

E10 Total credit exposure

E12 Institutions E13 Retail

E17 Corporates E18 Other Assets

E11

E14

E15

E16

	E.A	E.B	E.C	E.D
	unadjusted NPE Level year end 2013	Changes due to the single credit file review	Changes due to the projection of findings	AQR-adjusted NPE Level
Units of Measurement	%	Basis Points	Basis Points	%
medeulement	6,04%	1	11	6,16%
	5,22%	2	30	5,53%
	6,00%			
	5,14%	4	74	5,92%
	4,54%			
	6,54%	1	0	6,54%

review review ng ng ng hrings hring hrings hrings hrings hrings hrings hrings hrings hrings hring hrings hrings hrings hring hrings hri)
unadjusted coverage ratio of non-performi exposure, year end 2013 year end 2013 Changes due to the single credit file review collective provisioning creview on noriew on to NPE dur	
Units of Massurament Area and Ar	
Measurement % <th< td=""><td>,58%</td></th<>	,58%
Sovereigns and Supranational non-governmental organisation	,5070
2 Institutions	
	,66%
thereof SME 28,17% 28,17%	-
thereof Residential Real Estate (RRE) 20,01% 0,26% 8,00% 28,27% 20	,29%
thereof Other Retail 32,42% 32,42% 47	,77%
Corporates 44,70% 0,53% 1,41% 46,64% 49	,96%
3 Other Assets	

For information purposes only

F. LEVERAGE RATIO IMPACT OF THE COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT

Explanatory Note:

• Note that the leverage ratio is based on the CRR Article 429 as of January 2014.

• It is currently not binding, is displayed for information purposes only and has no impact on the capital shortfall (B11).

Leverage Ratio at year end 2013 F1

%	
Please refer to Definitions and Explanations sheet	

%

- F1 = A9 Aggregated adjustments to Leverage Ratio due to the outcome of the AQR **Basis Points** F2 F2 = (D20+D21+D22)/A5
- AQR adjusted Leverage Ratio F3

F3 = F1 + F2

-13

6,31%

